- 1) There is a 3.6% chance that a sample of 300 people to Mike's will have less than 14% order their cheeseburger with no cheese. (Table: 3.59%, GC: 3.567%)
- 2) There is a 93% chance that in a sample of 600 adults age 40-49, more than 39% can identify the book or movie with Z.B. (Table: 93.19%, GC: 93.17%)
- 3) There is a 61% chance that in a sample of 150 Iowa adults in 2010, between 50% and 60% were married. (Table: 61.01%, GC: 61.16%)
- 4a) There is a 66% chance that in a sample of 200 religious people, the sample proportion who celebrate with a Palmer bunny is between 24% and 30%.
- 4b) There is a 99.7% chance that in a sample of 2000 religious people, the sample proportion who celebrate with a Palmer bunny is between 24% and 30%.
- c) In a larger sample, the sample proportion is more likely to be close to the mean (here, between 24% and 30%) than in a smaller sample.
- 5) We are 99% confident that between 33.7% and 44.0% of all 2023 WEF visitors are from Davis or on campus.
- 6)a) The margin of error is 3.35 percentage points.
- b) To reduce to 2 percentage points, we need about 2245 people, which is 1443 more than what we currently have.
- 7) We are 90% confident than between 13.1% and 15.7% of all adult Americans watch TCCT.
- 8a) We are 99% confident that Measure 27 will get between 37% and 83% of all votes.
- b) Noticed.
- c) Right. Right, it's 10 percentage points higher. But not nearly enough to predict the outcome; the actual proportion could easily be as low as 37% or as high as 83%.
- d) Right.
- e) You need sample sizes much larger than 30 to predict an election.
- f) We cannot confidently say the team is better than average. They might be pretty lousy, actually, and just got off to a lucky start. They might end up winning only 37% of the rest of their games. We'll just have to wait and see.